## Chapter 5

## POPULATION ESTIMATION AND STATISTICAL DEFINITIONS/METHODS

## Estimation of Population

In India, the census is providing population figures once in every 10 years. However, based on the Cancer Incidence data, to provide various rates for inter-census years, the calculation of the relevant population estimates, assumes importance.

## Population of Bhopal Urban Agglomerate

Bhopal, a small town of 1901, started flourishing soon after receiving the status of state capital in 1956. New areas were included in the Bhopal Municipal Corporation. In 1971, Bhopal became a district place. A large number of migratory populations came and settled here. As per the census data, Bhopal had the maximum growth of $7.4 \%$ during the decade 1961-1971. As revealed by the 2001 census, the city had a growth rate of $2.98 \%$ for males and $3.04 \%$ for females during the decade 1991-2001.

## Population and Growth Rates of Area 1 and Area 2

Census data on ward wise population was utilized to arrive at total population of MIC affected and MIC unaffected areas (Area 1 and Area 2) for the year 1981, $1991 \& 2001$ correspondingly the average annual growth rates were also calculated for males and females separately and shown Table 5.1.

Table 5.1: Population \& Growth Rate of Area 1 and Area 2 for years 1981, 1991 \& 2001

| Year | Male |  | Female |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Area 1 | Area 2 | Area 1 | Area 2 |
| 1981 | 230256 | 132730 | 201606 | 114220 |
| 1991 | 347386 | 223381 | 311387 | 198648 |
| 2001 | 442994 | 325396 | 397504 | 292520 |
| Average Annual <br> Growth Rate (1981-1991) | 4.2 | 5.2 |  | 5.4 |
| Average Annual <br> Growth Rate (1991-2001) | 2.5 | 3.8 |  | 5.7 |

It was observed that the growth rate differed significantly between the Area 1 and Area 2 for the period 1981-1991 \& 1991-2001. In view of this the age distribution provided by the census for Bhopal Urban Agglomerate cannot be used for two areas. It may be mentioned that the age distribution for the ward wise population is not available for any of the census. This necessitated the calculation of five yearly age group populations of the two areas. The following data were used in estimation of five yearly age group population:

1. The growth rates of 1981-1991; 1991-2001 of Area 1 and Area 2.
2. Age and sex distribution of ICMR cohort of 1985.
3. Age and sex distribution of NCRP survey 2005 carried out in Area 1 and Area 2.

The details of the ICMR cohort 1985 and the NCRP survey 2005 are provided in Tables 5.2 and 5.3. A significant difference was observed in age distribution of the two areas among males as well as females. This confirmed the fact that a common age distribution cannot be used for both the areas.

Table 5.2: Age \& Sex Distribution of Area 1 and Area 2 of ICMR Cohort 1985

| Age Group | Male |  |  |  | Female |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Area 1 |  | Area 2 |  | Area 1 |  | Area 2 |  |
|  | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% |
| 0-4 | 4903 | 11.68 | 1094 | 12.75 | 4560 | 12.08 | 953 | 12.94 |
| 5-9 | 5614 | 13.38 | 1265 | 14.74 | 5063 | 13.41 | 1202 | 16.33 |
| 10-14 | 5302 | 12.63 | 965 | 11.25 | 4808 | 12.74 | 864 | 11.74 |
| 15-19 | 4491 | 10.70 | 774 | 9.02 | 4295 | 11.38 | 631 | 8.57 |
| 20-24 | 4496 | 10.71 | 789 | 9.20 | 4458 | 11.81 | 903 | 12.27 |
| 25-29 | 3696 | 8.81 | 843 | 9.83 | 3661 | 9.70 | 815 | 11.07 |
| 30-34 | 3132 | 7.46 | 779 | 9.08 | 2509 | 6.65 | 629 | 8.54 |
| 35-39 | 2526 | 6.02 | 686 | 8.00 | 2005 | 5.31 | 399 | 5.42 |
| 40-44 | 2096 | 4.99 | 463 | 5.40 | 1577 | 4.18 | 272 | 3.69 |
| 45-49 | 1659 | 3.95 | 310 | 3.61 | 1334 | 3.53 | 173 | 2.35 |
| 50-54 | 1421 | 3.39 | 219 | 2.55 | 1091 | 2.89 | 155 | 2.11 |
| 55-59 | 763 | 1.82 | 109 | 1.27 | 623 | 1.65 | 89 | 1.21 |
| 60-64 | 979 | 2.33 | 138 | 1.61 | 920 | 2.44 | 152 | 2.06 |
| 65-69 | 333 | 0.79 | 48 | 0.56 | 315 | 0.83 | 47 | 0.64 |
| 70-74 | 326 | 0.78 | 60 | 0.70 | 297 | 0.79 | 41 | 0.56 |
| 75+ | 231 | 0.55 | 38 | 0.44 | 236 | 0.63 | 37 | 0.50 |
| Total | 41968 | 100.00 | 8580 | 100.00 | 37752 | 100.00 | 7362 | 100.00 |

## METHOD FOR ESTIMATION OF POPULATION

Difference distribution method (Takiar \& Shobana, 2009) was used to calculate the five yearly age group population estimates for inter-census years. The method of calculation is shown in Annexure I. The following steps were used in estimation:

1. The total populations were arrived separately for Area 1 and Area 2 utilizing the respective decadal growth rates.
2. Utilizing the five yearly percentage distribution of ICMR cohort 1985 the five yearly age group populations for the year 1985 of Area 1 and Area 2 were arrived.
3. Similarly, utilizing the five yearly percentage distributions of NCRP survey 2005 the five yearly age group populations for the year 2005 of Area 1 and Area 2 were arrived.

Table 5.3: Age \& Sex Distribution of Area 1 and Area 2 - NCRP Survey 2005

| Age Group | Male |  |  |  | Female |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Area 1 |  | Area 2 |  | Area 1 |  | Area 2 |  |
|  | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% |
| 0-4 | 475 | 8.22 | 455 | 7.41 | 429 | 8.23 | 452 | 7.97 |
| 5-9 | 633 | 10.95 | 591 | 9.63 | 537 | 10.30 | 518 | 9.13 |
| 10-14 | 665 | 11.50 | 660 | 10.75 | 583 | 11.19 | 573 | 10.10 |
| 15-19 | 675 | 11.68 | 667 | 10.87 | 574 | 11.01 | 593 | 10.46 |
| 20-24 | 707 | 12.23 | 664 | 10.82 | 645 | 12.38 | 655 | 11.55 |
| 25-29 | 497 | 8.60 | 593 | 9.66 | 524 | 10.05 | 576 | 10.16 |
| 30-34 | 440 | 7.61 | 470 | 7.66 | 444 | 8.52 | 484 | 8.53 |
| 35-39 | 434 | 7.51 | 460 | 7.49 | 386 | 7.41 | 434 | 7.65 |
| 40-44 | 326 | 5.64 | 376 | 6.13 | 307 | 5.89 | 317 | 5.59 |
| 45-49 | 265 | 4.58 | 301 | 4.90 | 221 | 4.24 | 279 | 4.92 |
| 50-54 | 182 | 3.15 | 225 | 3.67 | 149 | 2.86 | 220 | 3.88 |
| 55-59 | 145 | 2.51 | 226 | 3.68 | 131 | 2.51 | 160 | 2.82 |
| 60-64 | 130 | 2.25 | 156 | 2.54 | 110 | 2.11 | 180 | 3.17 |
| 65-69 | 88 | 1.52 | 137 | 2.23 | 64 | 1.23 | 105 | 1.85 |
| 70-74 | 64 | 1.11 | 97 | 1.58 | 57 | 1.09 | 70 | 1.23 |
| 75+ | 55 | 0.95 | 60 | 0.98 | 51 | 0.98 | 55 | 0.97 |
| Total | 5781 | 100.00 | 6138 | 100.00 | 5212 | 100.00 | 5671 | 100.00 |

4. The difference (2005-1985) in each of the five yearly age group populations was arrived and their percentage contribution to total growth (1985 to 2005) was calculated.
5. To estimate the five yearly age group population for a given year its growth in relation to the year 1985 was distributed according to the percentage distribution arrived in step 4 and subsequently added to the base population of the year 1985.
6. The estimated population totals and the 5 yearly age group distributions for 1988 to 2005 of Area 1 and Area 2 are shown in Annexure I.

## Definitions, Statistical Terms and Method Used in Calculations

Cancer Case: All neoplasms with a morphology behaviour code of ' 3 ' as defined by the International Classification of Diseases - Oncology, (Third edition) are considered reportable and therefore registered.

Age-Group: According to WHO, the following five yearly age groups are in use for reporting the cancer incidences:

0-4, 5-9, 10-14, ...,75+.
Incidence Cases: This refers to new cancer cases diagnosed during a year in a given population.
Rates: Cancer is a rare disease hence its various incidence rates are expressed per 100,000 populations.

Crude Incidence Rate (CR): This is the ratio of the number of new cases to the estimated mid year population (mid-year), multiplied by 100,000.

$$
\mathrm{CR}=\frac{\text { New cases of cancer of a particular year }}{\text { Estimated mid year population of the same year }} \times 100,000
$$

Age Specific Rate (ASpR): This is the ratio of the number of new cases of a particular age group to the estimated mid year population of the same age group, multiplied by 100,000.

> Mid year population of the same year for the given age group

Age Adjusted or Age Standardized Rate (AAR): Mostly occurrence of cancer increases as age increases. Therefore a higher proportion of older population implies a higher number of cancers. Most developed western countries have a higher proportion of older population. So in order to make rates of cancer comparable between developed and developing countries, a hypothetical world standard population (Table 5.4) was used to arrive at the age adjusted or age standardized rates. The world standard population approximates the proportional age distribution of the world and is given below:

Table 5.4: Distribution of World Standard Population

| Age Group | World Standard Population |
| :---: | :---: |
| $0-4$ | 12,000 |
| $5-9$ | 10,000 |
| $10-14$ | 9,000 |
| $15-19$ | 9,000 |
| $20-24$ | 8,000 |
| $25-29$ | 8,000 |
| $30-34$ | 6,000 |
| $35-39$ | 6,000 |
| $40-44$ | 6,000 |
| $45-49$ | 6,000 |
| $50-54$ | 5,000 |
| $55-59$ | 4,000 |
| $60-64$ | 4,000 |
| $65-69$ | 3,000 |
| $70-74$ | 2,000 |
| $75+$ | 2,000 |
| All Ages | $\mathbf{1 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ |

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\sum_{i=1}^{A} & \text { Where: } \\
\text { AAR }=------------- & a_{i} \text { is the age specific rate(AspR) in age class } i ; \\
\sum_{i=1}^{A} w_{i} & w_{i} \text { is the world standard population in age class } i ;
\end{array}
$$

or expressed in more simpler term thus:
$A A R=\frac{\sum(\mathrm{ASpR}) \times(\text { No. of persons in Std. world population in that } 5 \mathrm{yr} \text {. age group })}{100,000}$

